

#### **Cash Projection to June-2021**

Cash Projection to June-2021							
Adjusted Cash Balance				162,950,339	Notes 1		
Projected Revenues  Bond Sales 2010 Measure D  Bond Sales 2012 Measure E  Less: Cost of Issuance Interest Earning & Other Revenue	\$ \$ \$	- - - 3,519,000	\$	3,519,000	2 2 2 2		
Projected Available Funds			\$	166,469,339			
Budget Balance Board Approved Budget Less Expenses to Date Current budget balance			\$ \$	1,652,929,646 (1,590,056,574) <b>62,873,072</b>	3		
Projected Cash Balance June 2021			\$	103,596,266			
State Facility G	ants	Pending Sta	ate	Approval			
Estimated during current planning period: Estimated after current planning period:					4 4		
Items Pending Board Approval							
Future Facilities Master Plan Projects Future Bond Sale 2020 Measure R	\$ \$	103,400,000 575,000,000			5		
2022-2023 Estimated Central Cost 2022-2023 Estimated Other Revenue	\$ \$	5,927,011 2,530,000			6 2		

Page 1 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020



#### Note 1 Adjusted Cash Balance

Description	Amount	Comments
Cash & Equivalents Building Fund 21	\$ 163,211,502	Α
Cash & Equivalents County School Facilities Fund 35	\$ -	В
Cash with Fiscal Agent (contract retentions)	\$ 5,835,299	C 3rd-Party held Retention
Accounts Receivable	\$ -	
Accounts Payable	\$ -	D
Contract Retention	\$ (6,096,462)	C District held Retention
Adjusted Cash Balance	\$ 162,950,339	

#### **Comments**

- A. The cash balance is reflective of financial data from MUNIS.
- B. California School Facilities Grants are deposited into the County School Facilities Fund 35 and subsequently transferred to the Building Fund 21.
- C. This liability is deducted from the contractor's process payment and retained; it is deposited in a Third party escrow account or accumulated and held by the district. The amounts are reflective of financial data from MUNIS.
- D. Accounts payable is reflective of financial data from MUNIS.

Page 2 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020



#### **Note 2 Projected Revenues**

Fiscal Year	 l Sales 2010 easure D	 les 2012 ure E	Les	ss: Cost of Bond Issuance	Inte	erest Earnings & Other Revenue	Total
FY2020					\$	149,000	\$ 149,000
FY2021					\$	3,370,000	\$ 3,370,000
Sub-Totals	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	3,519,000	\$ 3,519,000
FY2022					\$	1,530,000	\$ 1,530,000
FY2023					\$	1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Sub-Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	2,530,000	\$ 2,530,000
Grand Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	6,049,000	\$ 6,049,000

#### **Note 3 Budget Balance**

Description	Note
Board Approved Budget	This represents the current board approved budget amount and should agree with Report#2, Bond Program Spending by Site.
Expenses to Date	This is total expended amount from FY 1999-01 thru Current Fiscal Year Perid and should agree with Report#2, Bond Program Spending by Site.

Page 3 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020



#### **Note 4 State Facility Grants**

Upon release of funds by the California State Allocation Board the State Controller prepares the checks which are then mailed to the County Treasurer for deposit into the District's bank account Fund 35 (County School Facilities Fund) and subsequently are transferred to Fund 21, Building Fund.

School	Funding	OPSC * Status	SAB** Approval <sup>1</sup>	SAB** Funded	Amount
Pinole Valley HS	Modernization	Unfunded Approval 8/28/19	May 27, 2020	Est: Jul-20	\$ 23,400,090
Helms MS	Modernization	On Workload List	Est: 01/23-06/23	Est: Jul-23	\$ 4,133,414
Crespi MS	Modernization	On Workload List	Est: 07/23-12/23	Est: Feb-24	\$ 3,482,164
				Total	\$ 31,015,668

<sup>\*</sup>Office of Public School Construction - OPSC

Page 4 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020

<sup>\*\*</sup>State Allocation Board - SAB

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Last updated 05/27/20



The Board of Education received the Implementation Plan with the draft Master Plan on June 15, 2016 and approved them unanimously. The Board approved Implementation Plan - Model one, which includes the following projects with the project cost, including inflation:

School	Project Type	FMP 2016		rrent Budget
Ed Specs & School Size		\$ 200,000	\$	200,000
Chavez Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 600,000	\$	72,847
Crespi Middle School	Critical Needs	\$ 3,100,000	\$	5,300,000
Fairmont Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 3,000,000	\$	3,000,000
Grant Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 900,000	\$	211,467
Harmon Knolls	Critical Needs	\$ 200,000	\$	406,946
Harmon Knolls	Soils Testing	\$ 100,000	\$	41,489
Highland Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 800,000	\$	800,000
Lake Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ -	\$	147,501
Lake Elementary School	RS Replacement	\$ -	\$	1,000,000
M Obama Elementary School*	RS Replacement	\$ 40,300,000	\$	40,300,000
Ohlone Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 800,000	\$	623,885
Olinda Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 1,000,000	\$	793,247
Richmond High School**	Critical Needs	\$ 15,100,000	\$	21,000,000
Riverside Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 6,900,000	\$	6,900,000
Stege Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 2,900,000	\$	2,900,000
Valley View Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,091,447
Sub Total		\$ 76,900,000	\$	84,788,828

### **Note 5: Future Facilities Master Plan Projects**

School	Project Type	FMP 2016		rrent Budget
Cameron School	Critical Needs	\$ \$ 1,300,000		1,300,000
Collin Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ \$ 3,500,000		3,500,000
Hercules Middle School	Critical Needs	\$ 7,500,000	\$	7,500,000
Hercules High School	Critical Needs	\$ 7,200,000	\$	7,200,000
Kennedy High School	Critical Needs	\$ 12,200,000	\$	12,200,000
Lake Elementary School-Campus Replace	RS Replacement	\$ 66,100,000	\$	64,600,000
Shannon Elementary School	Critical Needs	\$ 7,100,000	\$	7,100,000
Sub Total	•	\$ 104,900,000	\$	103,400,000
<b>TOTAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN MODEL 1</b>		\$ 181,800,000	\$	188,188,828

Page 5 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020



- \* BOE approved supplemental funds for Obama ES: Fund 25 of \$1.75M and Fund 01 MRAD of \$0.85M on 06/26/19
- \* BOE approved supplemental funds for Obama ES: Fund 25 of \$2M on 06/24/20
- \*\* BOE approved supplemental funds for Richmond HS: Fund 40 of \$1M on 11/06/19

#### Definition of ROM<sup>1</sup>

Five percent inflation has been applied from mid-2016 to the scheduled midpoint of construction, compounded yearly, to account for inflation. These "Rough Order of Magnitude" (R.O.M.) cost estimates, which are based on general cost per square foot, do not include market-based contract escalation (if any) above 5% annual inflation.

Additionally, the cost of temporary housing has been included where it was known to be required at the time of the Master Plan (e.g., at Lake Elementary). It has not been included where it was not anticipated prior to the release of the Master Plan (e.g., at M Obama Elementary). Note that further Architectural and Engineering studies are required, including scoping and budgeting, for all Critical Needs.

\*In June 2016 the Board approved \$181,800,000 FMP since then the following budget revisions have been approved by the Board:

- Harmon Knolls \$250,000 and Valley View \$150,000 on 08/09/17; Grant <\$688,533>, Harmon Knolls <\$101,565>, Lake <\$352,499>, Ohlone <\$176,115>, & Valley View <\$58,553> on 07/25/18; Richmond \$3,900,000 on 11/14/18; Crespi \$2,200,000 on 03/20/19; Chavez <\$572,153> on 06/26/19; Richmond \$2,000,000 on 11/06/19; Olinda <\$206,753.35> on 02//26/20

#### Note 6 Unbudgeted Central Services Projected Expenses FY2021-22 & 2022-23

Description	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total
Salaries & Benefits	\$ 1,530,600	\$ 1,591,344	\$ 3,121,944
Services & Other Cost	\$ 1,532,177	\$ 1,272,890	\$ 2,805,067
<b>Total Projection</b>	\$ 3,062,777	\$ 2,864,234	\$ 5,927,011

Page 6 of 6 Updated 07/03/2020