

West Contra Costa Unified School District



School Closure Feedback Report

January 27, 2009

Background to the Summary

- Board approves [closure](#) process – December 10, 2008
- District held six school closure committee meetings between January 8 and January 20, one in each high school attendance area.
- At the end of those meetings, both the appointed committee members and public who attended completed a written evaluation of the proposed Closure Scenarios and suggested other closure scenarios
- This is a summary of that feedback.

Closure Committee

- 6 meetings – one at each High School attendance area;
- Appointed members (students, parents, teachers, community, principals et al) participated only **once** as a committee member
- Some people attended more than one meeting – but no one was ‘on the committee’ more than once

Participants Completing Evaluation

	Frequency	Percent
Administrator	53	6.7
Classified Employee	23	2.9
Community Member	54	6.8
Other	22	2.8
Parent	387	48.9
Student	86	10.9
Teacher	73	9.2
Not Stated	94	11.9
Total	792	100.0

Reporting Note

Data are divided by appointed and non-appointed participants. Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

Participant Distribution

	Appointed?	
	No	Yes
Administrator	5	48
Classified Employee	9	14
Community Member	39	15
Other	8	14
Parent	333	54
Student	72	14
Teacher	50	23
Not Stated	79	15
Total	595	197

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

Participants by High School Attendance Area

Appointed?

HSAA	No	Yes
Crespi (DAHS)	150	38
Helms (RHS)	66	41
Hercules	26	23
Kennedy	83	29
Pinole Valley	95	36
Portola (ECHS)	175	30

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

Of the two presented scenarios, which one would you prefer?

		Preferred Scenario	
Group	Appointed?	1	2
Administrator	N	1	1
	Y	20	6
Classified Employee	N	2	2
	Y	3	1
Community Member	N	8	2
	Y	3	1
Other	N	5	0
	Y	2	2
Parent	N	137	24
	Y	13	4
Student	N	31	8
	Y	1	2
Teacher	N	7	4
	Y	4	2
Not Stated	N	20	12
	Y	3	1
Total	N	211	53
	Y	49	19

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

What school(s) would you remove from closure consideration in Scenario I?

School	Appointed?	
	N	Y
Adams	15	11
Castro	43	14
Coronado	65	14
El Sobrante	7	5
Kennedy	122	32
Lake	4	11
Olinda	74	27
Shannon	18	12
None Stated	564	178

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

What Schools Would You Add to Scenario I for Closure?

School	Appointed?	
	No	Yes
Bayview	0	1
Collins	1	5
Crespi	34	3
De Anza	2	2
El Cerrito	0	1
El Sobrante	1	4
Fairmont	12	2
Highland	1	2
Kensington	0	1
Madera	1	0

School	Appointed?	
	No	Yes
Murphy	2	0
Nystrom	0	2
Olinda	1	0
Portola	8	12
PVHS	1	0
Richmond	1	0
Riverside	0	1
Stege	7	6
Valley View	3	8
Not Stated	592	188

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

What school(s) would you remove from closure consideration in Scenario II?

School	Appointed?	
	N	Y
Collins	24	9
Coronado	39	8
El Sobrante	7	3
Highland	19	12
Kennedy	89	20
Portola	31	12
Stege	20	9
Valley View	60	22
Not Stated	560	186

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

What school(s) would you add for closure in Scenario II?

School	Appointed?		School	Appointed?	
	No	Yes		No	Yes
Adams	1	1	Murphy	2	0
Bayview	0	1	Olinda	1	2
Castro	6	1	Pinole Valley	2	0
Collins	2	1	Portola	6	8
Crespi	24	4	Riverside	0	1
De Anza	2	2	Shannon	3	1
El Cerrito	0	1	Stege	2	4
El Sobrante	1	6	Tara Hills	1	0
Fairmont	6	1	Valley View	1	1
Lake	1	6	None Stated	595	197

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

K-8 Preference

Appointed?

School	No	Yes
Bayview	1	7
Castro	87	42
Chavez	2	0
Collins	3	1
Coronado	10	0
Crespi	57	48
De Anza	3	0
De Jean	0	1
El Sobrante	0	1
Ellerhorst	0	1
Fairmont	0	1

School	No	Yes
Ford	3	0
Grant	0	1
Harding	4	5
Helms	1	0
Highland	2	2
Kensington	13	8
King	19	15
Lincoln	1	0
Madera	5	0
Mira Vista	47	48
Murphy	13	1

School	No	Yes
Olinda	55	11
Peres	1	0
Pinole	1	2
Portola	1	2
Riverside	3	1
Sheldon	14	2
Stege	38	17
Valley View	61	16
Washington	2	4
Wilson	30	19
None Stated	595	196

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

What schools would you list as your Alternate Scenario for closure?

School	No	Yes
Adams	7	5
Alvarado	3	8
Castro	5	3
Collins	0	1
Coronado	4	5
Crespi	43	6
De Anza	3	8
El Sobrante	31	12
Fairmont	6	5
Gompers	3	1

School	No	Yes
Highland	0	1
Kennedy	3	2
King	1	0
Lake	2	4
Murphy	1	0
N. Campus	2	0
Nystrom	1	0
Olinda	2	4
Pinole Mid.	1	0
Portola	12	10

School	No	Yes
Seaview	4	4
Serra	0	2
Shannon	3	5
Sheldon	1	0
Stege	4	1
Valley V.	3	4
Vista	2	0
Wilson	1	0
None	593	194

Charts show data for appointed members labeled as “Y” or “Yes” and for community members who attended the meetings but were not appointed as “N” or “No.”

Thanks to Everyone Who
Participated