# Wilson Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Information				
School Name	Wilson Elementary School			
Street	629 - 42nd Street			
City, State, Zip	Richmond, CA 94805-1456			
Phone Number	(510) 231-1452			
Principal	Claudia Velez			
E-mail Address	cvelez@wccusd.net			
Web Site	http://www.wccusd.net/site/Default.aspx?PageID=1345			
<b>Grades Served</b>	K-6			
CDS Code	07-61796-6005045			

District Contact Information			
<b>District Name</b>	ne West Contra Costa Unified School District		
Phone Number	510) 231-1100		
Superintendent	Dr. Bruce Harter		
E-mail Address	bharter@wccusd.net		
Web Site	www.wccusd.net		

#### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

The mission of Wilson school is to provide a safe and nurturing learning environment where students receive high quality instruction in all content areas. Students engage in a rigorous standards based, Common Core aligned curriculum. We provide a high quality education that enables all students to make positive life changes, strengthen our community, and successfully participate in a diverse and global society. Our school delivers excellent learning and teaching experiences in safe student-centered learning environments. We develop and maintain productive community partnerships through individual and collective accountability. Our goal is to be inclusive of all members of the school community as we strive to provide equitable opportunities for success. All staff members engage in a multitude of professional development workshops and training that support and enhance teaching and learning.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	72
Grade 1	74
Grade 2	71
Grade 3	78
Grade 4	80
Grade 5	79
Grade 6	52
Total Enrollment	506

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	
Black or African American	14.6	
Asian	12.1	
Filipino	1.4	
Hispanic or Latino	65.4	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8	
White	4	
Two or More Races	1.2	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	94.1	
English Learners	51.2	
Students with Disabilities	16.6	
Foster Youth	1.2	

# A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- · Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	20	18	21	21
Without Full Credential	1	2	2	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

<u> </u>	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100.0	0.0			
All Schools in District	96.9	3.2			
High-Poverty Schools in District	96.8	3.2			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.1	2.9			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, California Treasures (K-6)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Everyday Mathematics (Grades K-6) Wright Group/Macmillan-McGraw Hill	Yes	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	Scott Foresman Science K-6 Pearson/Prentice Hall, Focus on Earth Science (6th grade)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Elementary Social Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill California Vistas	Yes	0%

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Wilson School was built in 1954. It is an outside campus, all classroom entries are from the open grounds. The school facility is large and has ample playground space. All student restrooms are located outdoors, near the playground. Portable classrooms were added in 1989, 1997, and 2009. In 2006, Wilson School used MRAD funds for a parking lot and a school sign. During the 2011-2012 school year the Facility Inspection Tool listed Wilson's site in "Good" condition. Wilson School is currently on the remodeling list.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: July 2014						
Custom Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х	Replace ceiling tiles in various locations.		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			Paint over graffiti. Paint the ramps. Repair the rubber base in portable 24.		
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		х		No pressure on drinking fountains in back by restrooms in play yard. Trap is leaking girls MPR restroom. Replace or remove broken seat cover dispenser boys playground restroom.		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		Х		Repair the bench outside room 10. Wires sticking up from the rubber pad of play structure. Repair the basketball backboards (2 each).		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		Х		Door cylinder is hard to lock and unlock portable 22.		

## **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month in which data were collected: July 2014						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating			Х			

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards
  Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)				
	School	District	State		
English Language Arts/Literacy	24	32	44		
Mathematics	15	22	33		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
All Students	3	83	79	95.2	59	23	13	5		
	4	81	79	97.5	72	9	13	6		
	5	79	79	100.0	37	24	35	3		
	6	52	52	100.0	50	31	17	2		
Male	3		38	45.8	63	24	8	5		
	4		42	51.9	69	10	14	7		
	5		45	57.0	47	20	29	2		
	6		30	57.7	73	17	10	0		
Female	3		41	49.4	56	22	17	5		
	4		37	45.7	76	8	11	5		
	5		34	43.0	24	29	44	3		
	6		22	42.3	18	50	27	5		
Black or African American	3		14	16.9	64	21	14	0		
	4		6	7.4						
	5		13	16.5	54	15	31	0		
	6		17	32.7	47	35	18	0		
Asian	3		7	8.4						
	4		14	17.3	43	0	50	7		
	5		12	15.2	42	8	42	8		
	6		6	11.5						
Filipino	3		1	1.2						

		Number o	f Students		Pei	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	4		1	1.2				
	5		1	1.3				
	6		1	1.9				
Hispanic or Latino	3		51	61.4	61	25	12	2
	4		55	67.9	75	13	5	7
	5		49	62.0	29	33	35	2
	6		25	48.1	56	32	12	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.2				
White	3		5	6.0				
	4		3	3.7				
	5		4	5.1				
	6		3	5.8				
Two or More Races	3		0	0.0				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		78	94.0	59	23	13	5
	4		75	92.6	72	9	12	7
	5		76	96.2	37	25	34	3
	6		47	90.4	47	34	17	2
English Learners	3		46	55.4	65	22	11	2
	4		43	53.1	95	2	2	0
	5		28	35.4	54	25	18	0
	6		13	25.0	85	15	0	0
Students with Disabilities	3		17	20.5	94	0	0	6
	4		12	14.8	100	0	0	0
	5		11	13.9	100	0	0	0
	6		12	23.1	75	25	0	0
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## **CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Disaggregated by Student Groups,			f Students	·		rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	83	82	98.8	61	23	10	6
	4	81	80	98.8	58	25	15	3
	5	79	79	100.0	42	41	14	4
	6	52	52	100.0	65	29	2	4
Male	3		40	48.2	68	15	10	8
	4		43	53.1	56	26	16	2
	5		45	57.0	47	31	20	2
	6		30	57.7	73	20	3	3
Female	3		42	50.6	55	31	10	5
	4		37	45.7	59	24	14	3
	5		34	43.0	35	53	6	6
	6		22	42.3	55	41	0	5
Black or African American	3		14	16.9	71	21	7	0
	4		6	7.4				
	5		13	16.5	69	23	0	8
	6		17	32.7	76	18	6	0
Asian	3		8	9.6				
	4		15	18.5	27	40	27	7
	5		12	15.2	42	42	8	8
	6		6	11.5				
Filipino	3		1	1.2				
	4		1	1.2				
	5		1	1.3				
	6		1	1.9				
Hispanic or Latino	3		53	63.9	64	23	8	6
	4		55	67.9	62	22	15	2
	5		49	62.0	35	49	14	2
	6		25	48.1	64	32	0	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.2				
White	3		5	6.0				
	4		3	3.7				
	5		4	5.1				
	6		3	5.8				
Two or More Races	3		0	0.0				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		80	96.4	60	24	10	6

		Number o	f Students		Pei	cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	4		76	93.8	57	26	14	3
	5		76	96.2	42	41	13	4
	6		47	90.4	64	30	2	4
English Learners	3		49	59.0	63	24	10	2
	4		44	54.3	77	16	7	0
	5		28	35.4	61	29	11	0
	6		13	25.0	100	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	3		17	20.5	76	12	6	6
	4		12	14.8	100	0	0	0
	5		11	13.9	91	9	0	0
	6		12	23.1	92	8	0	0
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject		School		District			State			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	25									

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	46
All Students at the School	47
Male	48
Female	45
Black or African American	27
Asian	41
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	53
White	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	29
Students with Disabilities	47
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards								
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards						
5	32.90	21.50	17.70						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

PARENT INVOLVEMENT PROGRAMS: The school involves parents by informing them of the programs offered at the school site. Each month parents are offered opportunities to attend Coffee Chats and other meeting forums to gain educational information related to student learning. To date we offer the following:

- Back-to-School Night, Parent Teacher Conferences and Open House.
- Monthly parent education meetings (topics include: Common Core, College/Career Preparedness, Understanding the New Report Card, Multiple Method Math Instruction, School Wide Data Review...)
- Family Literacy Night
- Family Math Night
- Family Science Night
- Test-Prep Workshop for parents
- Focus Groups based on parent need i.e. literacy workshops, testing skills workshops, effective parent-teacher conference workshops, math workshops, homework workshops, Parent University, and a series of educational parent workshops

SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL (SSC): The role of the SSC is to give parents, faculty and staff more on-site decision making ability by giving individuals the opportunity to work together in planning school program improvements. Every elementary school must have a School Site Council composed of five parents or community members, the principal, three classroom teachers, and one other staff member (Education Code Section 52852).

ELAC: The ELAC Committee advises the SSC on needs of English Learners and consults the SSC regarding school plans and budgets.

We also offer parents the opportunity to attend English Learner classes four mornings per week. This program is offered through Adult Education. The African American Advisory Committee meets monthly to review data and deliver input on programs and needs.

Contact Information for Parental Involvement Staff Community Engagement Office - 510-307-4526

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Do.A.		School			District		State		
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	3.42	1.87	2.06	10.15	6.59	6.16	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.10	0.09

#### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Wilson school makes sure the school's safety plan is updated annually. The staff participates in the district Safety Emergency Management System (SEMS) program. The principal and teachers are CPR certified. The safety team (principal, custodian, secretary, and clerk) makes sure the school conducts monthly drills for fire and earthquake. The team meets regularly to review climate issues and discuss safety procedures. Wilson school also participates in the county wide "Shelter-in-Place" drill in October. Students are provided information and told how to respond at school and at home. We will partner with the American Red Cross as they offer the "Pillowcase Project" to students in 3rd through 6th grade. The Pillowcase project teaches children about safety preparedness and guides them in organizing individual safety kits. Teachers and parents will also receive safety preparedness training in meeting forums and workshops.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	21
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	72.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

	2012-13					201	3-14		2014-15			
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	28		3		23		3		24		3	
1	22		4		25		3		24		3	
2	28		3		25		3		21		3	
3	27		3		26		3		22	1	3	
4	31		1	1	32		1	1	33			2
5	32		1	1	31		2		32		2	
6	25	1	2		27	1	1	1	27	1	1	1
Other					8	1						

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.20	N/A
Psychologist	.15	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.20	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.00	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7554.11	\$5008.53	\$2545.58	\$53804.22
District	N/A	N/A	\$9628.10	\$56383.71
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-73.6	-4.6
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-52.4	-26.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Programs and services available at Wilson School include: ESEA Title I
Economic Impact Aid

21st Century CCLC

Special Ed-E Gifted & Talented Ed-E

SIP

Parent Center-E

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

reacties and Administrative Salaries (riscal feat 2015-14)				
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category		
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,572	\$43,165		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$57,903	\$68,574		
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,623	\$89,146		
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$88,724	\$111,129		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$94,047	\$116,569		
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$105,032	\$127,448		
Superintendent Salary	\$227,250	\$234,382		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	31%	38%		
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%		

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

The primary areas of focus for staff development are derived from district goals in accordance with student needs.

The data used to determine the pertinent needs of our student population include:

- STAR and Early Literacy Assessment for reading
- English Language Arts district benchmarks
- English Language Development district benchmarks
- Math district benchmarks
- Ongoing common assessment data at each grade level

## Wilson school goals include the following:

- School wide commitment to implement the teaching of multiple methods in the math curriculum.
- School wide commitment to implement the use of collaborative conversations throughout all areas of the curriculum.
- Within the scope of these goals, student progress and need will be consistently and continuously monitored through Data Driven Instruction (DDI) cycles.

Professional developments to address these goals include:

- Ongoing workshops delivered by the math department on multiple method instruction and collaborative conversations during math instruction.
- Ongoing workshops delivered by the ELD department on the use of collaborative conversations in ELD instruction.
- Common Core workshops (district and on site).
- Accelerated Reader Training (district and onsite).
- Grade level planning days (onsite).
- Collaboration days to analyze data using the DDI cycle of inquiry (onsite).
- Instructional Rounds: Teachers from the ILT observe the above strategies in classrooms through a specific lens (as they relate to the school wide goals) as a way to gauge the effectiveness of the implementation. The ILT then revisits the goals with all staff to determine next steps.
- Collaborative study groups that meet and discuss educational books and articles (Mindset).
- Efficacy training for ILT members.
- Teachers in their first three years of teaching receive professional development and support through BTSA (Beginning Teacher Support Assistance).
- Teachers also have opportunities to attend conferences such at the California Association of Bilingual Education (CABE).

Quality instruction and instructional leadership are at the heart of the district's achievement efforts. To ensure this, the Academic Support Division engages teachers, administrators and other personnel in ongoing professional development focused on improving teaching and learning. Training is provided to principals and other administrators to improve their ability to provide strong instructional leadership and guide teaching and learning at school sites. The principals, in turn, provide support to teachers through staff conferences, opportunities for collaborative study and planning, and individual coaching based on ongoing classroom observations. Teachers and other staff are provided with both on and off-site professional development in all standards based curriculum content areas. Beyond the content areas, professional development is provided in English Language Development, Gifted and Talented Education (GATE), differentiated instruction and educational technology. In addition, training is made available in classroom management and the effective use of assessment data and teacher data teams in meeting the needs of all students. A primary goal of the professional development program is ensuring that all students are served by skilled, highly qualified teachers and that teachers are supported by strong, knowledgeable instructional leaders.