Grant Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

| | Contact Information (Infost recent) | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| School Contact Infor | School Contact Information | | | | |
| School Name | Grant Elementary School | | | | |
| Street | 2400 Downer Avenue | | | | |
| City, State, Zip | Richmond, CA 94804-1458 | | | | |
| Phone Number | (510) 231-1422 | | | | |
| Principal | Farnaz Heydari | | | | |
| E-mail Address | fheydari@wccusd.net | | | | |
| Web Site | http://www.wccusd.net/site/Default.aspx?PageID=899 | | | | |
| Grades Served | K-6 | | | | |
| CDS Code | 07-61796-6004774 | | | | |

| District Contact Information | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| District Name | West Contra Costa Unified School District | | | |
| Phone Number | (510) 231-1100 | | | |
| Superintendent | Dr. Bruce Harter | | | |
| E-mail Address | pharter@wccusd.net | | | |
| Web Site | www.wccusd.net | | | |

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Grant Elementary School was built in 1956 and is located in the city of Richmond along the 23rd St. corridor. The school is located on Downer Avenue between 24th and 25th Streets. Grant is a Title I school with 100% free and reduced lunch and is in Program Improvement. Our most recent API score is 694. Our student population is largely Latino, with 87% English Language Learners. Grant has had several facility improvements this past year. Grant Elementary is a large school with 630 students from Pre-school through 6th grade.

Staff and parents at Grant Elementary work together to create and provide a safe environment which is conducive to learning and developing academic and social skills. Together we strive for our students to become life-long learners and productive citizens. We feel strongly that it is important to address all of the needs of the child. We share a belief that our children deserve to be prepared so that they are ready and able to pursue any career or life path they choose when they grow up. Our teachers have become GLAD Certified in order to provide the best learning opportunities for all learners. We are robustly implementing the Common Core State Standards in our TK through 6th grade program. We also offer many opportunities for parents to provide input and receive information. There are monthly SSC meetings, Informational Coffees, parent workshops, parent-teacher conferences, awards assemblies, and weekly phone messages to keep parents informed and involved. Our School Community Worker help to support our families with any educationally related concerns and connect families to community resources to address other concerns they may need assistance with.

Grant is an RTI2 school, which means we offer both academic and behavioral support to all students. The Grant community also respects and celebrates the diverse backgrounds of its parents and students. Our Learning Center provides pull-out support for students who need more specialized instruction and we also offer push-in support to classrooms where our data shows the need. We offer differentiated instruction in all subject areas to provide support for individual learning styles while maintaining high, attainable expectations for students. Intervention programs have also been added to target learning gaps for our struggling students. Study trips are arranged by teachers to enhance student learning and give them real world applications. In addition, we have a large after-school program which supports the core subjects and provides a variety of extracurricular activities to enrich the lives of our students.

We are in our fourth year of implementations of two new initiatives for behavioral support as part of our RTI2 model. The first is a school-wide BEST (Building Effective Schools Together) approach. BEST is a framework of best practices that research has proven are critical to successful schools. The second is called The Toolbox Project. This program provides a curriculum for adults to teach students tools for life. The skills the students are learning help them positively cope with the social and emotional challenges they face every day. We are also teaching the tools to our parents which help them to use these skills with the children when they are at home. This year, we have begun a new school-wide program Mindful Life- a specific and intentional practice of paying attention to the present moment without judgment. Through focused and specific awareness, mindfulness builds skills to navigate ALL thoughts, emotions, physical sensations, and experiences by coming back to the here and now! "Rise-Up," Mindful Life Project teaches 50-minute pull out sessions twice per week, to groups of six to eight students in each grade level. During the year students are taught in eight-week rotations of expressive arts, yoga, and performing arts. All sessions include mindfulness instruction that is taught on its own and is also woven into each morality. Through our partnership with Mindful Life, we've seen a dramatic change in the number of discipline referrals.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Kindergarten | 100 |
| Grade 1 | 85 |
| Grade 2 | 93 |
| Grade 3 | 87 |
| Grade 4 | 71 |
| Grade 5 | 83 |
| Grade 6 | 44 |
| Total Enrollment | 563 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

| Student Group | Percent of Total Enrollment | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Black or African American | 6.4 | | |
| Asian | 3.4 | | |
| Filipino | 0.2 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 87.6 | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0.7 | | |
| White | 1.1 | | |
| Two or More Races | 0.5 | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 98.4 | | |
| English Learners | 75.8 | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 11.5 | | |

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

| T | | District | | |
|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Teachers | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2015-16 |
| With Full Credential | 25 | 29 | 25 | 25 |
| Without Full Credential | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

| (a) | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | | | | |
| This School | 100.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| All Schools in District | 96.9 | 3.2 | | | | |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 96.8 | 3.2 | | | | |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 97.1 | 2.9 | | | | |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2014

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption From Most Recen Adoption? | | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
|------------------------|---|-----|---|
| Reading/Language Arts | Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, California Treasures (K-6) Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, Tesoros de lectura (K-3) | Yes | 0% |
| Mathematics | Everyday Mathematics (Grades K-6), Wright Group/Macmillan-McGraw Hill | Yes | 0% |
| Science | Scott Foresman Science K-6 Pearson/Prentice Hall, Focus on Earth Science (6th grade) | Yes | 0% |
| History-Social Science | Elementary Social Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill California Vistas | Yes | 0% |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Safety is important in our school. Safety committee meets regularly to address issues of safety. The current building was constructed in 1956. Portables provide additional classrooms. Grant also houses two preschool programs with instruction in the morning and in the afternoon. In addition, we have an after-school program serving up to 167 students. The Multipurpose room was recently refurbished and new windows are being installed in the main building.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: July 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| System Inspected Repair Status Repair Needed and Good Fair Poor Action Taken or Planned | | | | | | | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | | | | | | | |

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: July 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|------|---|--|--|--|
| Contambounded | Repair Status | | | Repair Needed and | | | |
| System Inspected | Good Fair Poor | | Poor | Action Taken or Planned | | | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | | | Х | Repair linoleum; Replace ceiling tiles; Repair celotex; Replace ceiling tiles to grad; Clean sink in room 16 | | | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | Х | | | Clean drinking fountain by room 14 and 16; Remove plastic from light fixture | | | |
| Electrical: Electrical | | Х | | Replace diffusers; Replace clock | | | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | Х | | | Adjust drinking fountain by room 14 & by portable 21 and 24; Toilet out of order | | | |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Х | | | Paint ramp at back entrance | | | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | | Х | | | | | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | | х | | Replace portable 32 door; Repair door holders; New blinds needed; Patch and paint interior/exterior doors to match; | | | |

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month in which data were collected: July 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|------|------|--|--|--|
| | Exemplary Good | | Fair | Poor | | | |
| Overall Rating | | | Х | | | | |

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|-------|--|--|
| | School | District | State | | |
| English Language Arts/Literacy | 10 | 32 | 44 | | |
| Mathematics | 7 | 22 | 33 | | |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

| | | Number of | | | Pe | rcent of Stude | nts | |
|--|-------|-----------|--------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Student Group | Grade | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Not Met | Standard Nearly Met | Standard Met | Standard Exceeded |
| All Students | 3 | 91 | 88 | 96.7 | 69 | 24 | 3 | 1 |
| | 4 | 72 | 69 | 95.8 | 65 | 25 | 9 | 1 |
| | 5 | 84 | 81 | 96.4 | 58 | 22 | 14 | 1 |
| | 6 | 44 | 43 | 97.7 | 56 | 30 | 14 | 0 |
| Male | 3 | | 46 | 50.5 | 80 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 34 | 47.2 | 68 | 24 | 9 | 0 |
| | 5 | | 51 | 60.7 | 59 | 25 | 12 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 20 | 45.5 | 55 | 35 | 10 | 0 |
| Female | 3 | | 42 | 46.2 | 57 | 31 | 7 | 2 |
| | 4 | | 35 | 48.6 | 63 | 26 | 9 | 3 |
| | 5 | | 30 | 35.7 | 57 | 17 | 17 | 3 |
| | 6 | | 23 | 52.3 | 57 | 26 | 17 | 0 |
| Black or African American | 3 | | 4 | 4.4 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 3 | 4.2 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 6 | 7.1 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 3 | 6.8 | | | | |
| Asian | 3 | | 1 | 1.1 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 4 | 4.8 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 4 | 9.1 | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | | 81 | 89.0 | 68 | 25 | 4 | 1 |
| | 4 | | 63 | 87.5 | 63 | 25 | 10 | 2 |
| | 5 | | 70 | 83.3 | 59 | 23 | 13 | 1 |
| | 6 | | 35 | 79.5 | 57 | 29 | 14 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| White | 3 | | 2 | 2.2 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 1 | 1.2 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 1 | 2.3 | | | | |
| Two or More Races | 3 | | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3 | | 85 | 93.4 | 71 | 22 | 4 | 1 |
| | 4 | | 69 | 95.8 | 65 | 25 | 9 | 1 |
| | 5 | | 80 | 95.2 | 58 | 23 | 14 | 1 |
| | 6 | | 41 | 93.2 | 56 | 29 | 15 | 0 |
| English Learners | 3 | | 75 | 82.4 | 68 | 25 | 4 | 0 |

| | | Number o | f Students | | Pe | rcent of Stude | nts | |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|------------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Student Group | Grade | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Not Met | Standard Nearly Met | Standard Met | Standard Exceeded |
| | 4 | | 53 | 73.6 | 68 | 26 | 6 | 0 |
| | 5 | | 51 | 60.7 | 71 | 16 | 6 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 22 | 50.0 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | | 7 | 7.7 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 10 | 13.9 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 9 | 10.7 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 7 | 15.9 | | | | |
| Foster Youth | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | | | |

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

| | | Number o | f Students | | Per | cent of Stude | nts | |
|---------------------------|-------|----------|------------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Student Group | Grade | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Not Met | Standard Nearly Met | Standard Met | Standard Exceeded |
| All Students | 3 | 91 | 87 | 95.6 | 61 | 26 | 10 | 0 |
| | 4 | 72 | 70 | 97.2 | 53 | 37 | 9 | 1 |
| | 5 | 84 | 80 | 95.2 | 74 | 23 | 3 | 0 |
| | 6 | 44 | 44 | 100.0 | 84 | 11 | 5 | 0 |
| Male | 3 | | 45 | 49.5 | 62 | 27 | 7 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 35 | 48.6 | 46 | 46 | 6 | 3 |
| | 5 | | 51 | 60.7 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 21 | 47.7 | 86 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| Female | 3 | | 42 | 46.2 | 60 | 26 | 14 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 35 | 48.6 | 60 | 29 | 11 | 0 |
| | 5 | | 29 | 34.5 | 66 | 24 | 7 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 23 | 52.3 | 83 | 13 | 4 | 0 |
| Black or African American | 3 | | 4 | 4.4 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 3 | 4.2 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 6 | 7.1 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 3 | 6.8 | | | | |
| Asian | 3 | | 1 | 1.1 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 4 | 4.8 | | | | |

| | | Number o | f Students | | Pei | rcent of Stude | nts | |
|--|-------|----------|------------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Student Group | Grade | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Not Met | Standard Nearly Met | Standard Met | Standard Exceeded |
| | 6 | | 4 | 9.1 | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | | 80 | 87.9 | 64 | 24 | 10 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 64 | 88.9 | 50 | 41 | 8 | 2 |
| | 5 | | 69 | 82.1 | 71 | 26 | 1 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 36 | 81.8 | 83 | 14 | 3 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| White | 3 | | 2 | 2.2 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 1 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | 1 | 1.2 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 1 | 2.3 | | | | |
| Two or More Races | 3 | | 0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3 | | 84 | 92.3 | 63 | 24 | 11 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 70 | 97.2 | 53 | 37 | 9 | 1 |
| | 5 | | 79 | 94.0 | 73 | 23 | 3 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 41 | 93.2 | 83 | 12 | 5 | 0 |
| English Learners | 3 | | 74 | 81.3 | 64 | 24 | 9 | 0 |
| | 4 | | 54 | 75.0 | 61 | 33 | 6 | 0 |
| | 5 | | 50 | 59.5 | 88 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | 6 | | 23 | 52.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | | 6 | 6.6 | | | | |
| | 4 | | 11 | 15.3 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5 | | 9 | 10.7 | | | | |
| | 6 | | 7 | 15.9 | | | | |
| Foster Youth | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | | | |

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

| | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Subject | School | | | District | | | State | | | |
| | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) | 23 | 17 | 16 | 46 | 48 | 46 | 59 | 60 | 56 | |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

| Student Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |
|---------------------------------|---|
| All Students in the LEA | 46 |
| All Students at the School | 16 |
| Male | 17 |
| Female | 14 |
| Black or African American | - |
| Asian | - |
| Hispanic or Latino | 16 |
| White | - |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | - |
| English Learners | 4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 |
| Foster Youth | |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

| Grade | Perce | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level | Four of Six Standards | Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 20.00 | 5.00 | | | | | | | | |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parents are encouraged to participate in the School Site Council and ELAC committees to assist in developing the shared vision and mission of the school. Parental input and participation is highly valued and appreciated by the school staff. Parents are also encouraged to get a district volunteer badge and help in the classrooms, on study trips, and during lunches. In addition, Parents are invited to attend our Informational Coffees, these give parents an opportunity to speak in a casual setting with various experts on a variety of topics. During these meetings, guest presenters provide support on topics of concern. In partnership with local organizations, we provide parents with opportunities to learn ways in which they can support their children at home with their learning. Family Math, Literacy, and Science Nights are part of the school's tradition to provide parents with tools in supporting their children and becoming involved in their children's education. Other family fun events are planned throughout the year and parents are encouraged to participate actively. For the convenience of our Spanish speaking parents, our meetings are bilingual. In addition to the above, this year we will begin using our network of Community Partners, to provide opportunities for our parents to gain useful information such as awareness of age appropriate disciplinary techniques, to identify important health issues in their community and enhance their own abilities to address these health issues with concrete solutions based on the strengths of the community. Furthermore, with the assistance of our Community Engagement Office, we will be offering Parent University and ESL courses for our parents. For more information, please contact our office at (510) 231-1422.

Contact Information for Parental Involvement Staff Community Engagement Office - 510-307-4526

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| | | School | | | District | | State | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rate | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| Suspensions | 13.18 | 9.41 | 8.52 | 10.15 | 6.59 | 6.16 | 5.07 | 4.36 | 3.80 |
| Expulsions | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.09 |

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Grant Elementary Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP), modeled on the Incident Command System, addresses all components of SB187 including Student Discipline, Sexual Harassment, Crises Response Plan, Dress Code and Alternative Programs. The CSSP is reviewed annually by the Site Safety Committee that includes staff, parents and representatives of law enforcement. Emergency equipment has been supplied for each classroom and for the school as a whole. Fire and disaster drills are scheduled monthly. During the first weeks of school teachers and the principal explain policies about student safety, playground rules, classroom behavior, bullying and harassment. Events, campaigns, and assemblies are held during the year to address safety, positive self-esteem, smoking, drugs and alcohol. Conflict Mediators/ Junior Coaches are trained and circulate on the playground during recess. Discipline follows the WCCUSD "Standards for Student Behavior."

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

| AYP Criteria | School | District | State |
|---|--------|----------|-------|
| Made AYP Overall | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Met Attendance Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Graduation Rate | N/A | Yes | Yes |

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

| Indicator | School | District |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2004-2005 | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement* | Year 5 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A | 21 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A | 72.4 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| | | 2012-13 | | | | 201 | 3-14 | | 2014-15 | | | |
|-------|---------------|------------------------|-------|------|---------------|-------------|-------|------|------------------------|------|-------|-----|
| Grade | Avg. | Avg. Number of Classes | | Avg. | Nun | nber of Cla | sses | Avg. | Avg. Number of Classes | | sses | |
| Level | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | Class Size | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| К | 28 | | 4 | | 24 | 1 | 3 | | 20 | 2 | 3 | |
| 1 | 27 | | 3 | | 24 | | 4 | | 19 | 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 28 | | 2 | | 21 | 1 | 3 | | 22 | | 4 | |
| 3 | 27 | | 3 | | 24 | 1 | 3 | | 20 | 2 | 3 | |
| 4 | 32 | | 1 | 1 | 33 | | | 2 | 33 | | | 2 |
| 5 | 29 | | 3 | | 31 | | 1 | 1 | 33 | | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 2 | | 22 | 1 | 2 | |
| Other | 23 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 20 | 1 | | |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

| Title | Number of FTE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Academic Counselor | 0 | 0 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | N/A |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 | N/A |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | .20 | N/A |
| Psychologist | .40 | N/A |
| Social Worker | 0 | N/A |
| Nurse | 0 | N/A |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0 | N/A |
| Resource Specialist | 1.00 | N/A |
| Other | 0 | N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | Average |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ Unrestricted | Teacher Salary |
| School Site | \$8071.36 | \$5011.11 | \$3060.24 | \$55804.80 |
| District | N/A | N/A | \$9628.10 | \$56383.71 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | N/A | N/A | -68.2 | -1.0 |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$5,348 | \$72,971 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | N/A | N/A | -42.8 | -23.5 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Programs and services available at Grant School include:

ESEA-Title I
Economic Impact Aid
Bright Futures - After School
Playworks
Healthy Start
Special Ed
Gifted and Talented

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$37,572 | \$43,165 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$57,903 | \$68,574 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$77,623 | \$89,146 |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | \$88,724 | \$111,129 |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | \$94,047 | \$116,569 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | \$105,032 | \$127,448 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$227,250 | \$234,382 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 31% | 38% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 5% | 5% |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

"Optimal Learning for All Students" will continue to be our school-wide focus at Grant; with this in mind the teachers have all undergone GLAD Training. Quality instruction and instructional leadership are at the heart of the district's achievement efforts. To ensure this, the Academic Support Division engages teachers, administrators and other personnel in ongoing professional development focused on improving teaching and learning. Training is provided to principals and other administrators to improve their ability to provide strong instructional leadership and guide teaching and learning at school sites. The principals, in turn, provide support to teachers through staff conferences, opportunities for collaborative study and planning, and individual coaching based on ongoing classroom observations. Teachers and other staff are provided with both on and off-site professional development in all standards based curriculum content areas. Beyond the content areas, professional development is provided in English Language Development, Gifted and Talented Education (GATE), differentiated instruction and educational technology. In addition, training is made available in classroom management and the effective use of assessment data and teacher data teams in meeting the needs of all students. A primary goal of the professional development program is ensuring that all students are served by skilled, highly qualified teachers and that teachers are supported by strong, knowledgeable instructional leaders. Specifically, monthly professional development is planned and presented to the Grant Staff based on needs identified by teachers. Grade levels meet weekly and meet regularly with the Literacy Coaches and principal to review data and plan instruction. Teachers are encouraged to attend conferences, workshops, and training outside of the school day. They may also visit other schools or classrooms within Grant.