# Bayview Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Infor	School Contact Information				
School Name	Bayview Elementary School				
Street	3001 - 16th Street				
City, State, Zip	San Pablo, CA 94806-2353				
Phone Number	(510) 231-1401				
Principal	Humphrey Kiuruwi				
E-mail Address	hkiuruwi@wccusd.net				
Web Site	http://www.wccusd.net/bayview				
<b>Grades Served</b>	K-6				
CDS Code	07-61796-6004600				

District Contact Information				
District Name	West Contra Costa Unified School District			
Phone Number	(510) 231-1100			
Superintendent	Dr. Bruce Harter			
E-mail Address	bharter@wccusd.net			
Web Site	www.wccusd.net			

#### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

The mission of Bayview School is to teach all students to make positive life choices, strengthen our community and successfully participate in a diverse, global society. We provide excellent learning and teaching experiences; safe, student-centered learning environments; and support for all students and employees.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	109
Grade 1	115
Grade 2	106
Grade 3	101
Grade 4	84
Grade 5	81
Grade 6	83
Total Enrollment	679

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	19		
Asian	9.4		
Filipino	2.1		
Hispanic or Latino	64.1		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.8		
White	2.5		
Two or More Races	1		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	95.7		
English Learners	53		
Students with Disabilities	5.3		
Foster Youth	1		

# A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

	School			District
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	25	26	26	26
Without Full Credential	1	2	4	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	96.3	3.7			
All Schools in District	96.9	3.2			
High-Poverty Schools in District	96.8	3.2			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.1	2.9			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, California Treasures (K-6) Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, Tesoros de lectura (K-6)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Everyday Mathematics (Grades K-6) Wright Group/Macmillan-McGraw Hill	Yes	0%
Science	Scott Foresman Science K-6 Pearson/Prentice Hall, Focus on Earth Science (6th grade)	Yes	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	Elementary Social Science: Macmillan/McGraw Hill California Vistas	Yes	0%

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Bayview Elementary was constructed in 1952. In 2004-05, Measure M funded the reconstruction and modernization of the school. All buildings have been fully remodeled. Landscaping of the play areas were completed at the end of summer 2007.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: July 2015							
Contain languages d	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good Fair Poor		Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х	Repair rubber bases; Paint stage doors; Refinish stage; Touch up wall paint;			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		Х		Remove paper from walls; Replace paper towel dispensers			
Electrical: Electrical		Х		New diffusers room; Repair exterior light; New exterior lights needed; Repair light switch			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		Х		Loose toilet seat-lower grade girls restroom; Adjust drinking fountain			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			Repair exterior stucco			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х			Repair mats on play structure			

## **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month in which data were collected: July 2015						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating			Х			

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)				
	School	District	State		
English Language Arts/Literacy	17	32	44		
Mathematics	16	22	33		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## **CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)**

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Disaggregated by Student Groups,		Number o				rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade		Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	102	102	100.0	49	31	16	4
	4	92	89	96.7	72	10	11	6
	5	87	85	97.7	60	24	14	2
	6	83	81	97.6	51	33	14	2
Male	3		49	48.0	47	31	20	2
	4		43	46.7	79	7	12	2
	5		47	54.0	64	21	13	2
	6		41	49.4	51	39	7	2
Female	3		53	52.0	51	32	11	6
	4		46	50.0	65	13	11	9
	5		38	43.7	55	26	16	3
	6		40	48.2	50	28	20	3
Black or African American	3		18	17.6	72	17	11	0
	4		17	18.5	94	6	0	0
	5		22	25.3	64	23	14	0
	6		17	20.5	59	24	18	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		1	1.1				
Asian	3		9	8.8				
	4		12	13.0	33	17	33	17
	5		9	10.3				
	6		9	10.8				
Filipino	3		2	2.0				
	4		1	1.1				
	5		1	1.1				
	6		4	4.8				
Hispanic or Latino	3		69	67.6	46	35	16	3
	4		55	59.8	75	11	9	4
	5		50	57.5	66	24	10	0
	6		49	59.0	49	41	10	0

		Number o	f Students		Pei	cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	4		2	2.2				
Islander	5		1	1.1				
	6		1	1.2				
White	3		4	3.9				
	4		1	1.1				
	5		2	2.3				
	6		1	1.2				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		100	98.0	49	32	15	4
	4		83	90.2	71	11	11	6
	5		79	90.8	58	24	15	3
	6		75	90.4	51	35	13	1
English Learners	3		69	67.6	43	38	14	4
	4		40	43.5	90	5	3	0
	5		38	43.7	74	24	3	0
	6		33	39.8	73	27	0	0
Students with Disabilities	3		6	5.9				
	4		4	4.3				
	5		7	8.0				
	6		3	3.6				
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

#### **CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
All Students	3	102	102	100.0	52	25	16	7		
	4	92	89	96.7	51	34	12	2		
	5	87	85	97.7	69	21	8	1		
	6	83	81	97.6	59	26	10	5		
Male	3		49	48.0	51	20	18	10		
	4		44	47.8	48	39	9	2		
	5		46	52.9	70	17	11	2		

		Number o	f Students		Pei	cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
			10000		Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded
	6		40	48.2	63	25	8	5
Female	3		53	52.0	53	30	13	4
	4		45	48.9	53	29	16	2
	5		39	44.8	69	26	5	0
	6		41	49.4	56	27	12	5
Black or African American	3		18	17.6	67	22	6	6
	4		17	18.5	71	29	0	0
	5		22	25.3	68	27	5	0
	6		17	20.5	59	24	18	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		1	1.1				
Asian	3		9	8.8				
	4		12	13.0	0	67	25	8
	5		10	11.5				
	6		9	10.8				
Filipino	3		2	2.0				
	4		1	1.1				
	5		1	1.1				
	6		4	4.8				
Hispanic or Latino	3		69	67.6	54	26	16	4
	4		55	59.8	55	29	13	2
	5		49	56.3	78	14	6	2
	6		49	59.0	61	31	4	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	4		2	2.2				
Islander	5		1	1.1				
	6		1	1.2				
White	3		4	3.9				
	4		1	1.1				
	5		2	2.3				
	6		1	1.2				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		100	98.0	52	26	16	6
	4		82	89.1	50	34	13	2
	5		80	92.0	69	21	9	1
	6		75	90.4	61	28	8	3
English Learners	3		69	67.6	49	28	19	4
	4		40	43.5	63	28	8	0
	5		39	44.8	87	13	0	0
	6		34	41.0	76	21	3	0

		Number o	f Students		Pe	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Students with Disabilities	3		6	5.9				
	4		4	4.3				
	5		7	8.0				
	6		3	3.6				
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

#### California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

cultoring standards rests for All stadents in science (Timee Tear comparison)										
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
	School			District			State			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	35	19	20	46	48	46	59	60	56	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	46
All Students at the School	20
Male	21
Female	18
Black or African American	23
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	14
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	+
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	5
Students with Disabilities	21
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
5	20.00	30.60	22.40					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

- School Site Council (SSC): Bayview Elementary's School Site Council is composed of five parents or community members, the principal, three classroom teachers, and one other staff member (Education Code Section 52852). The SSC collaborates with Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) to develop the school plan and budget.
- English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC): Parents of students learning English as a second language advise the principal and plan parent involvement events.
- Principal's Coffee Chats are monthly meetings to encourage parent and community collaboration/involvement.
- Volunteers: The annual volunteer recognition tea recognizes parents and community members who have volunteered during the school year.
- Family Math Night: Math training is given to parents and families through evening math activities/workshops.
- Family Literacy Night: These evenings provide parents with activities and techniques for teaching reading and writing at home.
- ESL English Classes for parents

Contact Information for Parental Involvement Staff Community Engagement Office - 510-307-4526

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate		School			District		State			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	4.69	4.68	7.37	10.15	6.59	6.16	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.10	0.09	

#### **School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)**

Staff is trained at the beginning of each year. Faculty members are assigned to teams. Fire Drills and Disaster drills are conducted monthly. The Safety Team/ILT meets monthly to review procedures and resolve problems. Parents are informed of safety occurrences and how they can support.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2003-2004	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	21
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	72.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

		201	2-13		,,	201	3-14		2014-15				
Grade	Avg.	Avg. Num		nber of Classes		Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	Number of Classes		
Level	Level Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	
К	26		5		26		6		22	1	4		
1	27		4		24		3		25		4		
2	28		3		26		4		25		5		
3	28		3		28		3		25		4		
4	32		3		30		3		28		3		
5	31		3		31		3		27		3		
6	30		3		27		3		28		3		
Other					24		1						

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor	
Academic Counselor	0	0	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.20	N/A	
Psychologist	.15	N/A	
Social Worker	0	N/A	
Nurse	0	N/A	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.50	N/A	
Resource Specialist	1.00	N/A	
Other	0	N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average	
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$7,773.21	\$4,805.29	\$2,967.92	\$48,650.63	
District	N/A	N/A	\$9,628.10	\$56,383.71	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-69.2	-13.7	
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,971	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-44.5	-33.3	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Services and recreational programs offered at Bayview:

ESEA-Title I
Economic Impact Aid
21st Century CCLC
Special Ed
Gifted and Talented Ed
Parent Center
City of San Pablo After School

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,572	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$57,903	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,623	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$88,724	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$94,047	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$105,032	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$227,250	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	31%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Bayview School provides staff with training and professional development to differentiate instruction by implementing the workshop model. Grade levels collaborate weekly by reviewing data, designing instructional strategies to support students to excel and grow. These areas were determine by using data such as teacher surveys, math, ELD and Language Arts from district benchmarks.

Professional Development is delivered through various means. First, we have 2 site professional development; literacy coaches support staff with training to implement workshops, support grade-level collaboration and use of data to inform instruction. Second, one of the coaches provides BTSA training sessions every Tuesday for the year to support a large group of new teachers. This training provides new teachers with individualized support from a mentor and coach.

In addition, the principal evaluates and provides individual coaching for teachers being evaluated.

Furthermore, staff and active parents are encouraged to attend outside workshops in 1) Language Arts, 2) English Language Development, 3) Math and use of multiple methods for instruction, 4) use of data to inform instruction and lastly, 5) to facilitate collaborative discussions.

Lastly, teachers are supported by coaches and principal during implementation of academic initiatives through in class coaching, grade-level collaboration support, review of student achievement data after each benchmark administration.